

Overview of Trade Remedy Instruments in WTO

Mukesh Bhatnagar Professor Centre for WTO Studies

Trade Remedies

- WTO Members aspire for free trade
- However, free trade is not always fair trade
- Distortions in international trade
 - dumped exports
 - subsidized exports
- Anti dumping duty to counteract unfair trade practice of exporters from specified countries causing injury to domestic industry of Importing country
- Countervailing duty to counteract subsidized exports

3 Trade remedy instruments

- Antidumping Agreement Anti dumping duty
- Safeguards Agreement –Safeguard duty
- Subsides Agreement Countervailing duty (CVD)

Trade remedies: Comparison

AD	CVD	SG
Dumping	Subsidization	Import surge
		(NOT "unfair")
Material injury	Material injury	Serious injury
(or threat thereof)	(or threat thereof)	(or threat thereof)
Domestic industry: Like products	Domestic industry: Like products	Domestic industry: Like or directly competitive products
Country Specific	Country Specific	Irrespective of Source
No compensation	No compensation	Compensation may be required







Share of exporting countries in anti-dumping measures



Anti-dumping Measures Taken by WTO Members = 1.1.1995 to 30.06.2013

Country	Cases Initiated	Measures Imposed
Argentina	305	210
Australia	252	99
Brazil	297	136
Canada	176	106
China	208	162
EU	453	293
India	690	513
Indonesia	96	43

Anti-dumping Measures Taken by WTO Members 1.1.1995 to 30.06.2013 -contd.

Country	Cases Initiated	Measures Imposed
South Korea	116	73
Mexico	112	90
South Africa	222	130
Turkey	166	148
USA	476	318

950 cases out of total 4358 initiations were against China.
683 Measures out of total 2795 Measures are against China
99 Measures against India.

Source: WTO

umber of Measures
7
)
)
)
1
7

Anti dumping Legal texts/ provisions

GATT Article VI

Agreement to implement Article VI-Uruguay Round outcome

Commonly known as the Anti Dumping Agreement



- Like product
- Domestic industry



Application for AD investigation -Article 5.2

- To include evidence of dumping, injury and causal link. All relevant particulars.
- Simple assertion, unsubstantiated by relevant evidence not considered sufficient for initiation of investigation.
- Application to contain information as is reasonably available to the applicant.



Application for AD investigation -Article 5

- Standing requirement for making application-Art. 5.4
 - Test of 50% support.
 - Test of 25%-domestic producers making application to account for 25% production of the product.



- Domestic producers as a whole of the like product or those whose collective output constitutes **a major proportion** of the total domestic production of the product.
- Producers related to the exporters and importers, or who are themselves importers <u>may</u> be excluded from the domestic industry for the purpose of definition of domestic industry.
- WTO Case Law on 'Domestic Industry'- Argentina Poultry (DS 241), EC Fasteners (DS 397)

Initiation and subsequent procedures

- Gazette Notification of Initiation
- Information Gathering- Questionnaires
- Preliminary determination
- Verification of information of domestic industry & exporters
- Oral Hearing
- Issue of Disclosures statement
- Final Determination
- Overall timeline of investigation- One year normally, extendable by six months (Article 5.10)









Normal Value Article -2.2

- If home market sales not in ordinary course of trade or insufficient, then other two options for Normal Value.
- Sufficiency test- home market sales 5% or more of export from exporting country of the product under consideration. (Footnote 2 of ADA)





- Arms length transactions: Association/Affiliation of buyers and sellers
 - As a general practice to examine shareholding patterns in the transacting parties to determine affiliation if any;



Issue in Normal Value determination

- Sufficient home market sales
- Model matching
- Cost of production data
- Profitability Test 80:20 test
- Comparison methodology- Zeroing
- Sampling

Article -3 ADA Injury

- Injury determination based on positive evidence.
- Material Injury
 - "harm which is not inconsequential, immaterial, or unimportant"
- Threat of Material Injury
 - "clearly foreseen and imminent"
 - · Lesser used provision. Used along with Material Injury claim
- Material Retardation
 - A situation generally not used- criteria not very clear.





Article -3.4 ADA - Injury

- Mandatory examination Article 3.4 Economic Parameters
- Relevant economic factors that are considered when determining material injury include:
 - Actual or potential decline in output, sales, market share, profits, productivity, return on investment, and capacity utilization;
 - Factors affecting domestic prices;
 - Magnitude of margin of dumping;
 - Actual and potential negative effects on cash flow, inventories, employment, wages, growth, ability to raise capital or investment;



It must be demonstrated that the dumped imports are, through the effects of dumping, as set forth in paragraphs 2 and 4, causing injury within the meaning of this Agreement. The demonstration of a causal relationship between the dumped imports and the injury to the domestic industry shall be based on an examination of all relevant evidence before the authorities. The authorities shall also examine any known factors other than the dumped imports which at the same time are injuring the domestic industry, and the injuries caused by these other factors must not be attributed to the dumped imports.

Article 5.8- De Minimis provisions

- De Minimis margin of dumping <2%
- Negligible volume of imports-De minimis <3% of total imports in importing country.
- Individually <3% but together with other countries >7%- not treated as De Minimis or negligible.



Confidential information-Article 6

- 6.5 Confidentiality-
- 6.5.1-Non-confidential summaries- sufficient details and meaningful.
- 6.5.2- If confidentiality not warranted/parties not willing to make information in sufficient non confidential form, Authority may disregard such information BUT cannot make such information public.





• Article 11.2 – Changed circumstances review

• Article 11.3 – Expiry or sunset review



• Article 11.1 provides that an AD duty shall remain in force only as long as and to the extent necessary to counteract dumping which is causing injury;

• 11.2- If there is a changed circumstance in which either dumping or injury to the domestic industry ceased or changed an interested party can request for an interim review

Article 11.2 - Reviews

- Could be suo moto, by the authorities
- Or upon a substantiated request from any interested party
- After a reasonable period has passed from the date of its imposition: generally one year
- Follows same procedure as in the original investigation except interim findings.
- May lead to termination or modification of the definitive duty



- Article 11.3 of ADA provides that
 - Any definitive AD Duty shall be terminated on a date not later than five years from its imposition, or from a most recent review if that covered both dumping and injury;
 - Unless the authorities conduct a review before this date, suo moto or on a substantiated request from the domestic industry, and find continuation or likely recurrence of dumping and injury







Saf	eguard Measures by Members 199	6 to 2013	
	Member	Number of SG Measures	
	India	15	
	Indonesia	14	
	Jordan	8	
	Turkey	13	
	Egypt	5	
	EU*	3	
	Chile	8	
	Argentina	4	
	Philippines	7	
	US	6	
	Total *Does not include other EU Member states before accession	123	42



- Emergency Measures
- No "unfair" trade practice Higher injury threshold
- Applied on an MFN basis
- Have to pay for it
- Non-application of same measure
- Wider like product definition



Substantive Criteria

• As a result of:

- Unforeseen developments
- Importing Member's GATT/WTO obligations
- Increased imports
- Causing or threatening to cause serious injury



Unforeseen Developments

 Unforeseen means "unexpected", not "unforeseeable" (AB, Korea-Dairy, para. 84& AB, Footwear, para. 91)



Increased Imports

- Absolute, or

- Relative to domestic production
- Important distinction--certain legal effects will depend on the type of increase.



Increased Imports

Recent enough, sudden enough, sharp enough, and significant enough, both quantitatively and qualitatively, to cause or threaten to cause "serious injury".
 (AB, Footwear, para. 131; US – Steel Safeguards, paras. 345-346)



Domestic Industry

Like or directly competitive products -Article 4.1



Injury in SG Investigations

- Higher standard: "Serious injury"
 - "Serious injury" (current)
 - "Threat of serious injury" (imminent)
- List of factors to be examined



Threat of Serious Injury

- Must be clearly imminent...The anticipated serious injury must be on the very verge of occurring. (AB, *Lamb*, para. 125)
- Based on facts and not allegation conjecture or remote possibility



Evaluation of all relevant factors

Objective and quantifiable nature

Increase in imports- rate and amount, absolute and relative

Market share

Sales, Production, Productivity, Capacity utilization, Profits & losses, Employment



Causation

- Causal link
- Non-attribution
- "Genuine and substantial relationship of cause and effect between increased imports and serious injury" (AB, Wheat Gluten, para. 69)



Procedure

- Procedural rules are more general in SG compared with AD and CVD investigations.
- Views on public interest
- Must carry out an investigation
- Must establish and publish investigative procedures.





- Complaint
- Initiation
- Collection of information
- Preliminary determination
- Hearings
- Final determination
- Imposition of final measure



- Measures can be in the form of Tariff or Quota
- Mix of both
- Must choose the most suitable measure (Art. 5.1)





Definitive Measures

Quantitative restrictions

- Level of the quota
- Distribution of the quota



Provisional Measures

Conditions

- Critical circumstances where delay would cause damage difficult to repair
- Preliminary determination that there is clear evidence about serious injury or threat thereof.



Provisional Measures

- Only in the form of tariff increases
- Maximum 200 days
- Duration counted towards that of the definitive measure
- Notification requirements





- Progressive liberalisation if longer than 1 year
- Mid-term review if longer than 3 years
 - Withdraw the measure or increase its pace, if necessary.



- Have to pay for a safeguard measure.
 - Maintain equivalent level of concessions and other obligations vis-à-vis affected Members





S & D Treatment

Measures against developing countries :

• *De-minimis* 3 per cent in volume of imports- 9 per cent



Thank You